

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY  
3 1761 03324 3296

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

VOLUME THREE  
TRADEMARKS

Spring 1990  
Professor Rosemary J. Coombe

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the  
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.

LAW LIBRARY

MAR 9 1990

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

VOLUME THREE

TRADEMARKS

Spring 1990  
Professor Rosemary J. Coombe

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the  
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/intellectualprop03coom>

## 4. TRADEMARKS

<u>Readings</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b>A. <u>HISTORY AND POLICY INTERESTS</u></b>	
Schecter "The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection" (1927)	235
Standard Brands v. Smidler	239
Roberts, "Functions of a Trademark" (1988)	244
"What's In a Name?" (1989)	246
"Case Comment: L.L. Bean v. Drake Publishers" (1988)	254
"Judge Rules Parody goes a Step too far" (1989)	258
<b>B. <u>CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK</u></b>	
Constitution Act s. 91 (2), 91 (27), 92 (13)	259
Bell and Probert "Constitutionality of Canadian Trademark Law" (1985)	260
Canadian Converters v. Eastport Trading	293
Asbjorn Horgard A/S (1987)	294
<b>C. <u>INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK</u></b>	
I <u>STATUTORY PROVISIONS</u>	
Trademark Act ss. 26-40, 55, 56	305
II <u>PROCEDURE</u>	
i) <u>The Trademark Search</u>	308
[Materials To Be Distributed]	
ii) <u>Trademark Registration</u>	
[Materials To Be Distributed]	

D. COMMON LAW TORTSI PASSING OFFi) Criteria

Erven Warnick Besloten Venootschap v.  
J. Townshend & Sons

309

ii) Establishing Goodwill and Reputation

Blades Enterprises v. Thibault

314

Erven Warnick Besloten Venootschap v.  
J. Townsend & Sons

Oxford Pendaflex v. Korr

321

## a) Length of Time Mark Has Been in Use

Erven Warnick Besloten Venootschap v.  
J. Townsend & Sons

328

## b) Territorial Extent of Reputation

Sund v. Beachcombers Restaurant (BCSC)

329

Sund v. Beachcombers Restaurant (BCCA)

331

Orkin Exterminating Co. v. Pestco of Canada

337

iii) A Common Field of Activity?

McCulloch v. Lewis A. May

353

Falconbridge

357

Note: Krouse v. Chrysler

359

## a) "Household Words"

Lego System A/S v. Lego M. Lemelstrich

360

## b) Lesser Known Marks

Annabel's (Berkeley Square Ltd.) v. Schock

368

iv) <u>Misrepresentation</u>	
Visa International v. Visa Motel	370
Cadbury Schweppes Pty v. Pub Squash Co	374
Consumers Distributing v. Seiko	381
II <u>MISAPPROPRIATION OF VALUABLE INTANGIBLES</u>	
INS v. Associated Press	397
Moorgate Tobacco v. Philip Morris	410
Institut National Des Appellations v. Andres Wines	414
"He Who Reaps Where He Has Not Sown" (1942)	426
"Use of Like/Love Slogans"	
III <u>STATUTORY CODIFICATION</u>	
Trademark Act s.7	449
Benjamin Distribution Ltd. v. Les Distributions Eclair Ltee.	450
E <u>STATUTORY TRADEMARKS</u>	
I <u>CRITERIA FOR REGISTRABILITY</u>	
i) <u>Section 12 Requirements</u>	
Trademark Act s. 12	453
a) Primarily Name or Surname	
Comment	454
Gerhard Horn Investments Ltd. v. Registrar of Trademarks	455
b) Clearly Descriptive/Deceptively Misdescriptive	
Great Lake Hotels v. Noshery	464
S.C. Johnson Ltd. v. Marketing International	469
Molson Companies Ltd. v. John Labatt	473
Proctor and Gamble Inc. v. Nabisco Brands Ltd.	479

Coca-Cola Ltd. v. General Foods	481
Atlantic Promotions v. Registrar of Trademarks	482
c) Name in any Language of Wares & Services	
Comment	488
d) Confusing With a Registered Mark	
Trademark Act s.6	488
Comment	489
Conde Nast Publications Inc. v. Gozlan Bros	491
Benson & Hedges v. St. Regis Tobacco	495
e) Marks Prohibited Under the Act	
Trademark Act ss. 9, 10, 12(1)(e)	
Queen in Right of British Columbia et. al. v. Mihaljevic	500
Mihaljevic v. Queen in Right of British Columbia	506
ii) <u>Mere Functionality</u>	
Trademark Act s.13	515
Kline & French	
Pizza Pizza Ltd. v. Registrar of Trademarks	516
II <u>REGISTRATION</u>	
i) <u>Bases for Application</u>	
Trademark Act s. 16	521
a) Making Known	
Valles Steak House v. Tessier	522
b) Proposed Use	
Ford Motor Co. v. Kripka	525

c) Prior Use in Canada of Confusing Mark	
Manhattan Industries v. Princeton Manufacturing	526
ii) <u>Benefits of Registration</u>	
Comment	533
Mr. P's Mastertune Ignition Services Ltd. v. Tunemasters	534
iii) <u>Extent and Duration of Rights</u>	
Trademark Act s. 19, 21, 32	535
 III <u>ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING DISTINCTIVENESS</u>	
i) <u>Application</u>	
Trademark Act s. 2	536
Quiz: What are the following marks distinctive of?	536
Humpty Dumpty Foods v. George Weston	539
ii) <u>Opposition Proceedings</u>	
Trademark Act s. 38	545
E. and J. Gallo Winery v. Andres Wines Ltd.	546
iii) <u>Infringement and Expungement Proceedings</u>	
Trademark Act s. 18(1)(b), 43, 44, 57(1)	550
Schmid Laboratories v. Atlantic Packaging Products Ltd.	551
Moss, Hammond v. Pelle Import & Export Ltd.	555
Maple Leaf Mills Ltd. v. The Quaker Oats Co. of Canada Ltd.	558
iv) <u>Loss of Distinctiveness Due to Licensing and Assignment</u>	
Wilkenson Sword (Canada) Ltd. v. Juda	565
Marcus & Associates v. Quaker Oats of Canada	589

v)	<u>Marks Which Become Generic</u>	
	Aladdin Industries, Inc. v. Canadian Thermos Products	594
	Xerox Ad	610
F.	<u>INFRINGEMENT</u>	
	Trademark Act s. 19, 20, 22, 53(3)	611
	Hayhurst, "The Unauthorized Use of Another's Mark in Canada" (1984)	612
	Clairol International v. Thomas Supply & Equipment	632
G.	<u>REMEDIES</u>	
	Trademark Act s. 52, 53	642
	<u>See</u> Cairns, The Remedies for Trademark Infringement (1988) [Excerpts on Reserve at Library]	
	Turbo Resources Limited v. Petro Canada Inc.	643
H.	<u>OFFENCES</u>	
	Criminal Code Provisions	653